UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/656,146	09/08/2003	Xavier Blin	05725.1239-00	1368
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER LLP 901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413			EXAMINER	
			ROGERS, JAMES WILLIAM	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1618	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/20/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application Number 10/656,146 Document Code - AP.PRE.DEC Application/Control No. Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination 10/656,146 BLIN ET AL. Art Unit 1618

Notice of Panel Decision from Pre-Appeal Brief Review

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Revi	ew filed <u>8/16/2011</u> .
1. Improper Request – The Request is improper and reason(s):	d a conference will not be held for the following
☐ The Notice of Appeal has not been filed concurr ☐ The request does not include reasons why a rev ☐ A proposed amendment is included with the Pre ☐ Other:	riew is appropriate.
The time period for filing a response continues to run from the mail date of the last Office communication, if no Noti	
2. Proceed to Board of Patent Appeals and Interference held. The application remains under appeal because the is required to submit an appeal brief in accordance with brief will be reset to be one month from mailing this decirunning from the receipt of the notice of appeal, whicheve appeal brief is extendible under 37 CFR 1.136 based up of the notice of appeal, as applicable.	ere is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal sion, or the balance of the two-month time period ver is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the
The panel has determined the status of the claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	im(s) is as follows:
3. Allowable application – A conference has been had Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits remapplicant at this time.	
4. ☐ Reopen Prosecution – A conference has been he action will be mailed. No further action is required by ap	
All participants:	
(1) /Michael G. Hartley/ SPE.	(3) Jim Rogers, Ex.
(2) <u>Larry Helms, QAS</u> .	(4)